P 14

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1930. (Madurai)

File No. 235-30

Serial Nos. 1-3

Later References

G.O. 184-31. 16th.
" 401-34-(1-4)
" 93-31-1st

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 3

Part B for February 1931

SUBJECT.

Recommends sanction to the lease of the Inam lands belonging to Sri Sabala Narasimhaswami temple at Narasipatti-Sale village to Mr. H. N. Sankara Sastry for 50 years on an annual rent of Rs 100/- per annum.

G.O. No. 1777 78 dated 13.2.31

To the Mad. Comm. Complete

Sanctioned as a special case

Serial No.

Letter no 995/30-31 of 30.12.30 from the Muzrai Commissioner forwarding records received with the Kadur Deputy Commissioner's letter No. As-1794-30/iii of 15/12/30 in the matter of the lease of inam lands belonging to Sri Patala Narasimha Swamy Temple at Narasipura Hobli. Village in the Narasimharajapura Sub Taluk and recommending sanction to lease out the Inam lands to Mr Sankara Sastri for 50 years on an annual gritta of Rs 100/- per annum

Petition of 12-11-1931 from Mr H. N. Sankara Sastri 14. III Cross Road. Basavanpur submitting copy of his petition of 12/1/31 addressed to the Muzrai Commissioner stating that the amount of rental may be reduced from Rs 100/- to Rs 60/-

(1) The Inam lands in question are as noted below:—

	Extent	Assessment
S No 4 Dry	Ac 1-35	1-0-0
S° Wet	15 9	49-0-0
		50-0-0

2) The proposal is to lease out these Inam lands of the Temple to Mr H. N. Sankara Sastri for 50 years on payment of Rs 100/- per year for expenses of the temple.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

As seen from para 5 of the Dy. Comr's letter no. A5-1794-40/30-1220, 15/12/30 Mr. Sankara Sastri has agreed to pay the said sum of Rs 100/- every year also to supervise the worship of the deity in the Temple.

In the latter connection it may be said that Mr. H. N. S Sastry being an Asst Kan. Translator will have to live here in Bangalore on Government duty. It is not known how he can supervise the worship of the deity from day to day at Vairasandra Bale village

Again Mr. Sankara Sastri has in his Comm^n of 12/1/1931 prayed for a reduction of the rental from Rs 100/- to Rs 60/-

For orders —

GS 17/1/31 It is stated that Rs 60/- has to be paid to the Archak and the jodi & cesses due to Govt are Rs. 26-10-0. Against this there is the statement that the Vahivatdar has to spend at least Rs 1000/- initially in improving the damaged land.

MSK 19/1/31 The M.C.'s report on the latter petition may perhaps be awaited

General and Revenue Secretariat Mur File No. 235 of 1930.

Serial No.

9) I sent for Mr. H. N. Sankara Sastri and pointed out to him that his present offer ~~was~~ of Rs 60/- was too low to cover the Archak's pay as well as the Jodi & cesses. The utmost that he could pay is Rs 70/-

10) The file will be referred unofficially to the M.C. for favor of remarks on the offer of Rs 70/- now made and if it is considered low, what other arrangements he would propose -

H. Lacey 19.1

11) The temple is in disrepair and it is said that a sum of Rs 1000/- is required for its ~~it~~ the damaged lands. What does the MC propose to do in respect of ~~this~~ the temple -

Is it not desirable to cancel the Inam and sell the lands as ryotwari lands if a fair price could be got for them in public auction?

In the urgency maybe made by the MC.

19-1-31

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 02553—G PB—50 000—8 7 30

Misc File No. 22 of 1930-1

Put up accordingly

Issue

21-1-31

The letter was about to issue when an application from Sankara Sastri's with 3rd member's order calling for reference was received. The file is accordingly submitted.

23/1/31. H. Secy) 17

23.1.31

3rd Member) 18

The locality is very shamefully behindhand and land does not seem to be much in demand. Can we not give the man another chance. But we must be sure that the institution is a regular one and that the rent is regularly paid.

28/1/31

"No"

D. No. 1048/930

OFFICE OF THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL
26 JAN. 1931
4090

1948

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 2.23 of 1930.

Serial No.

OFF. 27 JAN. 31 No. 418.4

20 Suggested a further inquiry, in view of the fact that Mr. Sankaranarayana put in a petition in the Senate, going back upon what he had agreed to ~~with the Govt~~.

21) Have no objection to the Mr's recommendation made in his letter of 30th Oct. so long sanctioned in the special circumstances of the case

3rd member

26/1/31

22) This may be done & reply.

23 Opn. called for in paras 21 & 22 submd

4/2 MSK 5/2/31

24 Please put up a clean draft.

9.2.31

25 Fair opn submd

9/2

H. Secy)

9.2.31

10/2/31

27 Issue

11.2

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

1948

Mrg File No. 2 35 of 1930

G.O. No. 1777-78 of 13-2-31 Sanctioning
The recommendation of the Mrg Sub Commissioner about the lease of the Inam lands belonging to the Sri Patala Nanasimha Swamy temple at Nanasipam-Bale Village.

B 14/2

Submitted for orders whether an endorsement may be given to the petr on these lines.

MSR 19/2/B

(H. Secy)

19.2.31

6652
19/2

2. I dare say the M.C will communicate orders to the concerned parties

2
20/2
B

B
23/2/31.

No. 995. 30-31 Ev/file

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 24th December 1930.

FINANCIAL SECRETARY 31 DEC 1930 No.

From

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Financial Department

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT 2 JAN. 1931 948 MUZRAI.

Sir,

Ony 2 35-30-1

In forwarding herewith the file of vernacular [illegible] received with the Kadur Deputy Commissioner's letter No.L5-1794-30/122 dated 15th December 1930 (copy enclosed) in the matter of the lease of the inam lands belonging to Sri Petala Narasimhaswami ---- temple at Narsipur-Bele Village, in the Narasimharajapura Sub Taluk I have the honor to state as follows:-

S.No.	Extent.	Assessment.
4.dry	1-35	1- 0- 0
5 wet	15- 9	49- 0- 0

The marginally noted inam lands endowed to the above temple are stated to be in the possession and enjoyment of three persons viz. Sri. ------ Shankarasastry and his brothers Chandrasekharabhatta and Neelakanta Bhatta, the first having half share and the others ¼ share each. The brothers were made responsible for the proper performance of puja of the deity. Complaints of non-performance of Puja in the temple having been received, it was ordered in Muzrai Superintendent's memo No.Ev.396 dated 9th August 1913 to lease out the lands for definite periods. Mr. Sankara Sastry was no ever asked whether he would agree to pay Rs.9/- p.a. towards the pay of the archak and as he did not agree, the lands in question were ordered to be leased out by auction. It is seen from the Deputy Commissioner's report that no bids were secured at the sale as the lands were damaged by the floods during the year 1923-24 and that they have been lying fallow for nearly 7 years. It is reported that the Vahivatdars (the three brothers) have not taken pains to improve the lands; that the assessment on the lands from the year 1923-24

389

[illegible] been [illegible] under remission and that nobody is [illegible] the lands or lease for any definite period. The [illegible] also report [illegible] disrepair. Mr. Sankara Sastry [illegible] (vide statement enclosed in the records) to take [illegible] the institution and to arrange for the proper [illegible] of [illegible] lands, if the lands are leased [illegible] on an annual rate of Rs.100/- Chandra- [illegible] also [illegible] to take up the lease of the lands [illegible] Rs.105/- per year. The Deputy Commis- [illegible] Prabhakara and Kodala Hanumantha [illegible] the management of the institution [illegible] there is no prospect of their doing anything. [illegible] of opinion that [illegible] Rs.1000/- [illegible] required to improve the damaged lands [illegible] be leased out to Mr. Sankara [illegible] Commissioner recommends [illegible] the lands [illegible] and to [illegible] improve [illegible] of the institution, [illegible] period of [illegible] years on [illegible] Rs.100/- [illegible] expenses of [illegible] [illegible].

[illegible] Rs.100/- [illegible] | [illegible] of view of the fact that [illegible] | [illegible] transfer [illegible] be in [illegible] | [illegible] best interests of the [illegible] | [illegible] recommended [illegible]

[illegible] Commissioner.

[illegible],

[illegible],

[illegible],

Yuvarajuga Ray

for [illegible] Commissioner.

Letter No. [illegible]94-40/30-122 dated 15th December 1930 from Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District to the Inam Commissioner Mysore.

In forwarding herewith the vernacular correspondence ending with the Tarikere Sub Division Officer's No. 2-41-29 dated 30-9-1930 regarding the lease of the Inam lands belonging to Sree Lakshmi Narasimhaswami temple at Narasipur-Bale Village, in the Narasimharajapura Sub Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Collector, of Narasimharajapura reports as follows:-

(1) The marginally noted inam lands of the above mentioned temple

S.No.	Extent.	Assessment.
4 Dry	1-35	1- 0- 0
5 Wet	15- 0	49- 0- 0

have been in the possession and enjoyment of these persons Mr. M.N. Shankara Sastri and his brothers Chandrasekhara Bhatta and Neelakanta Bhatta. The 1st had a half share and the 2nd and 3rd, a share each. They were made responsible for the proper performance of the puja of the deity.

(2) As there had been complaints from the villagers in regard to the non-performance of the puja it was ordered to lease out the lands by public auction, i.e. Mr. Shankarasastri does not pay Rs.9/- a month or Rs.108/- per year towards the pay of the archak. As Mr. Shankarasastri did not agree to pay the said amount - the lands in question were -- ordered to be leased out by sale. Nobody came forward to take up the lands as the same have been damaged by floods during the year -- 1923-24. The lands are lying fallow for the past 7 years.

(4) The Vahivatdars of the temple, i.e., Mr. M.N. Shankarasastry, ---- Chandrasekhara Bhatta, and Neelakantabhatta have not taken any pains to improve the lands in question and the assessment due thereon for the year 23-24 have been brought under remission.

(5) Mr. M.N. Shankara Sastry in his statement dated 19-5-30 given --- before the Sub Division Officer, Tarikere, states that he would pay Rs.60/- per year in addition to the jodi and cesses of the lands -- provided the lands are given to him on permanent lease, and that he would supervise the worship of the deity in the temple.

From enquiries made it is learnt that ~~the~~ no body is willing to take the lands for lease for any definite period.

(7) Mr. M.N. Shankarasastry who is a native of this place and whose fore-fathers were the worshippers of the deity in the temple and who has agreed to take up the vahivat provided he is given the land

[illegible] on permanent lease.

[illegible] the [illegible] in disrepair. No body had taken any interest [illegible] and [illegible]. Chandrasekharabhatta and Neelakantabhatta the two other claimants have not done anything in the past and there is no prospect of their doing anything in the future.

The Dharmadarsis of the temple in their meeting held on 4-9-30, [illegible] of [illegible] the sum of Rs.1,000/- would be required to improve [illegible] lands so that the lands in question may be leased out to Mr. [illegible] on permanent lease. This is recommended by the Deputy Collector.

The Sub Divisional Officer, Kasaragod, agrees with the recommendation of the Deputy Collector.

I do not [illegible] in favour of giving the lands on a permanent -- lease [illegible] to state that Government have sanctioned in their order No. [illegible]/[illegible]/106-20-2 dated 6-8-30 the lease [illegible] of the institution of [illegible] officers.

[illegible] the [illegible] and to [illegible]. [illegible] the land of this institution [illegible] the proper performance of the puja in the temple [illegible] Government may kindly be obtained for [illegible] period of 50 years to Mr. Chandrasekhara [illegible] Rs.10/- annually as per his statement [illegible] Deputy Collector on [illegible]-1950 for the expenses of the [illegible] below.

Rs.60/-	[illegible] to be [illegible] to a check.
Rs.36-10-0	[illegible] to be credited to Government.
10- 6-0	[illegible] of the usual repairs, of the temple.
106- 0-0	Total.

Yours

Yeraravunje Rao

Deputy Commissioner.

To

GENL. & REV SECRET
14 JAN 1931
999
MUZRAI

S.P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I beg to submit that the Inam land attached to the Sri Pathala Narasimhaswami Temple at Narasipura, Hole Village, Narasimharajapura Sub Taluk, was proposed to be leased out to me on a rental of Rs. 100/- per annum. I consented to take up the perpetual lease on payment of the said amount. But, of late, conditions have been very much changed. Paddy is the staple crop of the locality (wet land) and that is the only crop that can be raised in the land in question. When the ~~amount~~ annual rent of Rs. 100/- was fixed, paddy was selling at the rate of Rs. 7/- to Rs. 8/- a palla. For the last one or two months the rate of paddy has gone down very much. It sells at Rs. 3/- to Rs. 3/8 a palla at Narasimharajapura. It is quite possible that it might go down still lower. If the annual rental is fixed at Rs. 100/- as it was then proposed, it would be impossible to pay this sum under the changed conditions. I do not, however, withdraw my promise to take up the lease, but, in view of the recent changed conditions and also of the heavy outlay I have to incur in order to reclaim the overflowed land and bring it under cultivation, I request that the amount of rental may be kindly reduced from Rs. 100/- to Rs. 60/-. I hope that my request will be sympathetically considered and complied with.

The utmost that is possible to pay is Rs. 40/- Seventy. [illegible]

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Sd) H.V. Sankara Sastry

(407)

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
13 JAN. 1931
No.

Bangalore,
dated 12th January 1931.

Copy of the above is submitted to the Secretary to Govt. Muzrai Department, Bangalore for information and orders.

H.V. Sankara Sastry
14, 7th Cross Road
Basavangudi

Bangalore
12th January 1931

OFFICE OF THE
THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL
21 MAR 1931
No. 3243

12

Rajakaryapravina

P.G.D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Third Member of Council (Muzrai Portfolio).

Bangalore.

EV SECRE
1048
MUZRAI

Sir,

I beg to submit that the Inam lands of Sri Pathala Narasimhaswamy temple at Narasipura, Bale Village, Narasimharajapura Sub Taluk, were proposed to be leased out to me on Rs.100/- per annum, and I consented to take the perpetual lease on payment of the amount proposed. This was done some six months ago when paddy, the staple crop of the locality, was selling at high rates ranging from Rs.7/- to Rs.8/- per palla. But, of late, the price has gone down more than 50 per cent in that locality. I, therefore, requested that the annual rental might be brought down to Rs.60/-. The Assistant Secretary,(Muzrai) was pleased to send for me and asked me if it was not possible to raise my offer to some extent. Then, with much difficulty, I consented to pay Rs.70/- per annum.

You are aware , Sir, of the condition in malnad where paucity of labour together with bad climatic condition is a serious handicap to agriculture. The land in question is situated in a hilly part of Malnad where hundreds of acres of arable lands are lying waste for want of occupiers.

The institution is a very ancient one, though it has the remnant of a small extent of land as inam. We look upon the temple as our family deity. The land in question has been in uninturrupted possession of our family for over 300 years or even more. The inam was granted to the temple hundreds of years ago. As a devotee of the temple I do not wish to see that the inam tenure of the land should be cancelled, and that the temple should be deprived of what little inam is remaining to it in the shape of land.

The

The temple is in good repairs, but during the heavy floods of 1923-24, the land was overflowed and seriously damaged. It requires more than Rs.1000/- to make the land fit for cultivation. In view of the heavy cost involved to repair the land and of the fall in the price of paddy the annual rent of Rs.70/- (as now revised) cannot be considered low. I request that the records connected with the case may be kindly perused and that perpetual lease of the land may be ordered to be granted to me early so that I may instruct my people to make arrangements for repairing the land.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H.N. Sankara Sastry
No. 14, III Cross Road
Basavangudi

Bangalore,
21st Jan.1931.

Proceedings of the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read.-

(1) Letter No. 995/30-31 dated 30/12/1930 from the Muz. Commr. forwarding records relating to the lease of the Inam lands belonging to the Sri Patala Narasimhaswami temple at Narasipur-Bale village, Narasimharajpur Sub Taluk and recommending sanction to ~~the~~ lease the marginally noted Inam lands of the temple to Mr. H.N. Shankara Sastry for a period of 50 years on an annual gutta of Rs.100/-.

S.No.	Kind	Extent A. G	Assessment Rs. as
4	dry.	1 - 35	1- 0- 0
5	wet	15 - 9	49- 0- 0

(2) ~~Letter~~ Petition dated 12-1-31 from Mr. H.N. Sankara Sastry, 14 III Cross Road, Basavangudi, forwarding copy of his ~~petition~~ application to the Muz. Commr. requesting that the amount of rental be reduced from Rs. 100/- to Rs. 60/- and stating that the utmost he can pay is Rs. 70/- per annum.

Order No. 1777-78 dated 9th Feb. 1931.
F. 5-30-3 / 132

Govt. are pleased to sanction the recommendation of the Muz. Commr. made in his letter dated 30-12-30 as a special case

9.2.31 10/2/31
~~For~~. Finl. Secy. to Govt.

To
The Muz. Commr. with the records.
The Comptroller to Govt. (one file)

C.R.G.